

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Food allergy in adolescents: validation of the food allergy independent measure and the EuroPrevall food allergy quality of life questionnaire into Spanish

Dah-Tay Jang, Laura Ibáñez, Elisa Buendía, María Pérez Sabido, Ester Bartoll, María Nieto, Blanca Selva, Sonia Uixera, Antonio Nieto, Ángel Mazón*

Unit of Pediatric Allergy and Pneumology, Children's Hospital Health Research Institute La Fe, Valencia, Spain

Received 24 February 2022; Accepted 12 November 2022 Available online 1 March 2023

KEYWORDS Adolescents; Food allergy; Quality of life; Questionnaire; Spanish; Teenagers; Validation

Abstract

Background: Different questionnaires have been developed globally to assess and compare the impact of food allergy on the quality of life. The aim of this study was to validate a Spanish translation of the Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) and the EuroPrevall Food Allergy-Quality of Life Questionnaire-Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) for adolescents aged 13-17 years.

Methods: Sixty adolescents diagnosed with immunoglobulin E-mediated allergy to food completed the questionnaires. Cronbach's alpha was used to assess internal consistency; correlation between FAQLQ-TF and FAIM was used to test construct validity. The discriminant validity was evaluated by comparison with the number of offending foods, the perceived impact on social life, the diagnosis of anaphylaxis, and the previous prescription of adrenaline autoinjectors (AAI).

Results: No question fulfilled criteria to be removed from the questionnaire. For FAIM, Cronbach's alpha = 0.763, and for the four domains of FAQLQ-TF, Cronbach's alpha = 0.797-0.847. A significant correlation existed between FAQLQ-TF and FAIM, and of both of them with anaphylaxis and the prescription of AAI (P < 0.05 for all comparisons).

Conclusions: This Spanish translation of FAIM and FAQLQ-TF for adolescents had good internal consistency and construct validity as well as ability to discriminate patients according to the number of foods to avoid, impact on social life, diagnosis of anaphylaxis, and AAI prescription. © 2023 Codon Publications. Published by Codon Publications.

*Corresponding author: Angel Mazon. Unit of Pediatric Allergy and Pneumology. Hospital La Fe. Av. Fernando Abril Martorell 106. 46021-Valencia. Spain. *Email address:* mazon_ang@gva.es

Copyright: Jang D-T, et al.

License: This open access article is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/

Introduction

High prevalence of food allergy has become a global challenge.¹ Food allergy has a broad spectrum of severity, from minor sporadic symptoms to severe, life-threatening reactions. Thus, its impact on the quality of life (QoL) is variable, and, in addition to clinical reactions, costs and limitations in everyday life pose further challenges for children and their families.

Education of patients, food challenge tests, and recent procedures, such as desensitization or induction of oral tolerance, can have a favorable impact on QoL,^{2,3} although they are not always free of risks and can induce high direct and indirect costs.

The EuroPrevall initiative, with financial support from the Framework Programme FP6 of the European Union, had the objective of evaluating several aspects of food allergy in Europe, such as epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment of food allergy.⁴ It developed some questionnaires on food allergy to assess and compare QoL across countries with the same tools. Specific questionnaires were developed for children, adolescents, and parents,⁵⁻⁷ which require to be translated and validated in different languages before use. The aim of our work was to validate into Spanish (Spain) the translation of the Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) and the EuroPrevall Questionnaire on Food Allergy-Quality of Life Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) for adolescents aged 13-17 years.

Material and Methods

The English version of both FAQLQ-TF and FAIM⁶ were translated into Spanish following the same process as done before with the version of the questionnaires for children.8 Briefly, one of the authors, fluent in English and having over 20 years of experience in the evaluation of children and adolescents with food allergy, made the first translation, which was reviewed by other authors, to agree upon the final version. This was back-translated into English by an independent professional bilingual translator. The back-translated version was checked with the original one, and no relevant differences were found; hence, the agreed version was used for the study. A large pilot group of adolescents completed the questionnaires and could understand and answer all the questions (results not included), so the questionnaires were then used for the patients of this study. The translated versions are shown in the online Supplementary Figure S1.

Consecutive adolescents, 13-17-year old, filled in the questionnaires. They had immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated food allergy, based on compatible clinical manifestations, on the ingestion of food, together with positive skin prick test and/or serum-specific IgE. During one visit scheduled for a food challenge, before a reaction could occur, the adolescents filled in the questionnaire. For avoiding selection bias, they were invited to participate independent of severity of allergy or the number of offending foods.

The FAQLQ-TF had 23 questions in the following four domains: six questions on allergen avoidance, six on risk of accidental exposure, seven on emotional impact, and four on dietary restrictions. The FAIM, used to evaluate the construct validity of questionnaires, has been shown to be relevant, reliable, and have face validity to independently measure food allergy.⁹ It includes four questions on expectation of outcome (EO), the perception of potential reactions after exposure to offending foods, and two questions on independent measures (IM), number of offending foods to avoid, and impact on social activities. The electronic records were reviewed to collect information about the diagnosis of anaphylaxis and the prescription of adrenaline auto-injectors (AAI). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Health Research Institute La Fe (No. 2020-003-1), and results were coded to guarantee anonymity of responders.

The answers to the questions follow a Likert scale, ranging from 0 to 6, with higher values associated to worse QoL. The consistency of FAQLQ-TF domains and FAIM was assessed with Cronbach's alpha. The corrected item-total correlations and changes in Cronbach's alpha if an item was deleted were also estimated. The Spearman's correlation value between the questions of FAQLQ-TF and those of FAIM was calculated. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the values of FAQLQ-TF domains and FAIM depending on the diagnosis of anaphylaxis and previous prescription of AAI. SPSS 15.0, 2006 program (Chicago, Ill, USA) was used for calculations.

Results

Sixty adolescents completed the questionnaires. Their demographic and clinical data are shown in Table 1. They answered all the questions with no blank responses.

Table 2 shows the values of Cronbach's alpha (all values >0.7) for FAIM, FAQLQ-TF, and its four domains. Additional measures for each question of FAIM are also shown therein. Specific values for FAQLQ-TF domains are shown in Supplementary Tables S1-S4. If the corrected item-total correlation is less than 0.3, or if there is a

Table 1Demographicandclinicaldescriptionofparticipants; numbers (percentage).								
Gender	Male	35 (58.3)	3) Age (mean 14.7					
	Female	25 (41.7)	and range)	(13.0-17.2)				
Age	<15 years	36 (60)	Monoallergic	17 (28.3)				
	>15 years	24 (40)	Polyallergic	43 (71.7)				
Asthma	No	35 (58.3)	Foods	n				
	Yes	25 (41.7)	Milk	3				
Anaphylaxis	Yes	27 (45.0)	Egg	11				
	No	33 (55.0)	Walnut	32				
Adrenaline	Yes	26 (43.3)	Hazelnut	23				
	No	34 (56.7)	Peanut	20				
Number of	1	17 (28.3)	Cashew nut	9				
offending	2	13 (21.7)	Pistachio	11				
foods	3	7 (11.7)	Kiwi	19				
	4	3 (5.0)	Peach	25				
	5	8 (13.3)	Fish	9				
	6	3 (5.0)	Crustaceans	14				
	>6	9 (15.0)	Others	52				

IM1: Number of foods to avoid IM2: Effect on social life

	•	. ,		, ,
	No. of questions	Cronbach's alpha	Cronbach's alpha if an item was deleted (range)	Corrected item/total correlation (range)
Whole FAQLQ-TF	23	0.937	0.931-0.937	0.443-0.779
Allergen avoidance	6	0.847	0.805-0.845	0.526-0.732
Risk of accidental exposure	6	0.802	0.720-0.796	0.441-0.763
Emotional impact	7	0.804	0.756-0.800	0.415-0.690
Dietary restrictions	4	0.797	0.702-0.840	0.449-0.706
FAIM	6	0.763	0.697-0.778	0.285-0.611
EO1: Chance of accidental exposure	-	-	0.778	0.285
EO2: Chance of severe reaction	-	-	0.715	0.551
EO3: Chance of dying	-	-	0.697	0.611
EO4: Chance of not acting effectively	-	-	0.739	0.459

Table 2 Values of Cronbach's alpha for the whole Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) and its four domains, and the whole Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) and its questions (EO1-EO4, IM1, and IM2).

substantial increase in the Cronbach's alpha if an item is deleted, the removal of that question is generally recommended.¹⁰ This first criterion for removal was observed with FAIM question EO1 ("Chance that you will accidentally eat something to which you are allergic") that had an item-total correlation value of 0.286. The second criterion had no specific cut-off point, but if question 10 of FAQLQ-TF ("How troublesome do you find it, because of your food allergy, that you must refuse treats at school or work?") was deleted, then there would be an increase in Cronbach's alpha from 0.797 to 0.840. None of the rest of the questions met those criteria.

Significant correlations were observed between the whole FAQLQ-TF and its domains and the whole FAIM and its six questions (P < 0.05). Further, significant correlations were also discovered when evaluating individual questions of FAQLQ-TF with those of FAIM (Table 3).

The diagnosis of anaphylaxis and the fact of having been prescribed AAI (Table 4 and Supplementary Table S5) were associated with worse values of the whole FAQLQ-TF and its domains and whole FAIM and its six questions, except for having nearly significant association (P = 0.06) between the prescription of AAI and FAIM question EO4 ("Chance that you cannot effectively deal with an accidental allergic reaction"). Allergy to elemental foods, such as milk and eggs, had significantly worse QoL, and polyallergic patients had worse FAIM values than monoallergic patients (Table 4 and Supplementary Table S6); patient's age or the presence of asthma was not significant (Table 4). A correlation was observed (P < 0.001) between the values of FAQLQ-TF and its domains and the number of foods that patients felt they had to avoid (Supplementary Table S7). The time elapsed since the last reaction was not significant (P > 0.26 for all questions).

There was no floor or ceiling effect: none of the patients had the lowest score in the whole FAQLQ-TF and its domains and FAIM and its questions. Only one patient (1.7%) had the highest score for the domain of allergen avoidance, and another patient in FAIM well below the acceptable proportion of 15%.¹¹

Discussion

Validation of common questionnaires in different languages is important to compare food allergy across the world. Versions of questionnaires for children aged 8-12 years and for parents have been already validated in Spanish and other languages.^{8,12,13} Nevertheless, validation of FAQLQ-TF or other questionnaires¹⁴ for adolescents was practically nonexistent in languages other than English, even though adolescents have frequent reactions,¹⁵ which impact their QoL. In this study, we aimed to validate FAQLQ-TF and FAIM for adolescents in Spanish.

0.713

0.713

Values of Cronbach's alpha for the whole FAQLQ-TF and its four domains were more than 0.79 (values above 0.70 are considered acceptable).¹⁶ Likewise, Cronbach's alpha for FAIM was 0.763. These results demonstrated a good global internal consistency.

Nevertheless, if the question on accepting treats at school or work place was deleted, then Cronbach's alpha for the domain of dietary restrictions showed a moderate increase from 0.797 to 0.840. The fact that our study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic must have affected this domain, as sharing treats at school or work place was prohibited since the beginning of the outbreak; although, this limitation on treats is probably not as important in adolescents as in younger children. One of the FAIM questions (EO1: "Chance of accidental reaction") showed a corrected item-total correlation of 0.285, intending a low correlation of this question with the summated scores of other questions in FAIM. If the values are less than 0.3 or even 0.4,¹⁰ then the removal of the question should be considered, as it may not be measuring the same entity as the others.

The correlation of FAQLQ-TF with independent FAIM was assessed to evaluate the construct validity of the questionnaire.⁹ We discovered significant correlations between the global scores and the scores of FAQLQ-TF domains. Most, but not all, of the correlations between individual questions of FAQLQ-TF and FAIM questions were significant. While FAIM mostly reflects the severity of food allergy, some of the questions in FAQLQ-TF reflect impact

0.580

0.562

FAIM FAQLQ-TF Total E01 EO2 EO3 E04 IM1 IM2 0.734*** 0.475*** 0.502*** 0.489*** 0.417** 0.674*** 0.601*** Total 0.61*** 0.67*** 0.40** 0.61*** 0.52*** 0.33* 0.43** Allergen avoidance 0.42** 0.36** 0.34** 0.39** 0.35** 0.22 4 Read labels 0.21 0.60*** 0.54*** 0.63*** 0.55*** 0.38** 6 Stay for a meal 0.31* 0.37** 7 Try fewer 0.01 0.07 0.36** 0.38** 0.26* 0.08 0.16 0.56*** 0.38** 0.47*** 0.45*** 0.39** 8 Check yourself 0.32* 0.35** 9 Hesitate eating 0.53*** 0.63*** 0.39** 0.20 0.31* 0.39** 0.30* 0.26* 0.40** 0.03 -0.06 0.15 0.33* 0.27* 16 Explain people 0.45*** 0.48*** 0.61*** 0.54*** Risk of accidental exposure 0.68*** 0.45*** 0.34** 0.45*** 11 Touching foods 0.14 0.28* 0.35** 0.37** 0.34** 0.48*** 0.64*** 0.45*** 0.65*** 0.60*** 13 Ingredients change 0.34** 0.40** 0.27* 0.49*** 0.42** 0.42** 0.31* 0.40** 0.29* 14 Label traces of 0.16 15 Label different 0.34** 0.23 0.37** 0.24 0.16 0.31* 0.29* 0.44*** 17 Others can eat 0.41** 0.33** 0.20 0.34** 0.13 0.20 0.42** 0.40** 0.46*** 0.34** 18 Not take account 0.30* 0.25 0.29* 0.61*** 0.56*** 0.56*** 0.68*** 0.48*** Emotional impact 0.30* 0.38** 0.43** 0.61*** 0.46*** 0.59*** 5 Less control 0.41** 0.42** 0.28* 12 Carry adrenaline 0.43** 0.16 0.44*** 0.07 0.49*** 0.33** 0.33* 19 Allergic reaction 0.50*** 0.12 0.62*** 0.42** 0.39** 0.24* 0.22 0.60*** 0.26* 0.63*** 0.48*** 0.39** 0.32* 20 Eating wrong 0.27* 0.49*** 0.34** 0.31* 0.40** 0.36** 0.33* 0.48*** 21 Never before 0.36** 0.26* 0.26* 0.30* 0.35** 22 Discouraging reaction 0.17 0.23 23 Not taking account 0.33** 0.01 0.37** 0.34** 0.20 0.23 0.33** 0.65*** 0.35** 0.32* 0.51*** 0.36** 0.65*** 0.64*** **Dietary restriction** 1 Always watching 0.58*** 0.35** 0.41** 0.44*** 0.27* 0.46*** 0.49*** 0.62*** 0.57*** 0.64*** 2 Eating fewer things 0.14 0.27* 0.45** 0.35** 0.47*** 0.52*** 0.60*** 0.27* 0.51*** 0.56*** 3 Limited in buying 0.33* 0.45*** 0.32** 0.34** 0.29* 0.39** 10 Refuse treats 0.19 0.08

Table 3 Correlation (Spearman's coefficient) of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) score and its domains with the Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) score and its questions.

Correlation is significant at *0.05, **0.01, and ***0.001 levels.

EO1: chance of accidental exposure; EO2: chance of severe reaction if exposed accidentally; EO3: chance of dying if exposed accidentally; EO4: chance of not acting effectively if exposed accidentally; IM1: number of foods one needs to avoid; IM2: effect of food allergy on social life.

Table 4 Mean rank values of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) score and its domains, and Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) score and its questions, according to the diagnosis of anaphylaxis and having been prescribed autoinjectable adrenaline.

		Whole I	AQLQ-	TF			
		Mean rank	U	Р	Mean rank	U	Р
Anaphylaxis	No (n = 33)	21.62	152	<0.001	21.55	150	<0.001
	Yes (n = 27)	41.35			41.44		
Adrenaline	No (n = 34)	22.50	170	<0.001	22.21	160	<0.001
	Yes (n = 26)	40.96			41.35		
Age	<15 years (n = 36)	29.58	399	0.618	31.03	384	0.73
-	\geq 15 years (n = 24)	31.88			29.71		
Asthma	No (n = 35)	27.59	336	0.126	28.53	369	0.300
	Yes (n = 25)	34.58			33.26		
Monoallergic vs. polyallergic	Monoallergic $(n = 17)$	24.68	266	0.104	22.50	229	0.025
	Polyallergic. $(n = 43)$	32.80			33.66		
Allergic to milk and/or eggs	No (n = 12)	28.78	182	0.049	28.44	219	0.201
	Yes (n = 48)	39.38			38.75		
Allergic to nuts	No (n = 20)	25.23	295	0.098	25.28	347	0.405
	Yes (n = 40)	33.14			33.11		

on everyday QoL, and there may be no correlations, as we also found, to a greater extent, in the questionnaires for children aged 8-12 years.⁸

The discriminant capacity was assessed through several variables. In the validation of both our version and the English version, adolescents who had to avoid more foods demonstrated worse scores in guestionnaires.⁶ We found worse scores for both FAQLQ-TF and FAIM in children with anaphylaxis or having previous prescription of adrenaline. In the validation of the English version, FAQLQ-TF was not able to discriminate between patients with and without anaphylaxis.6 In our case, this association was clear for global scores as well as for all the questions of FAIM; however, it had not been so clear in the questionnaires for children aged 8-12 years,⁸ may be because of less maturity to understand the consequences of food allergy. Allergy to basic foods, such as milk and eggs, was associated with worse QoL, and polyallergy was linked to worse FAIM; however, these variables did not indicate the same consistency as anaphylaxis or adrenaline prescription. In fact, their significance was mainly driven by only one or two individual questions, and not by all of them as for anaphylaxis or adrenaline prescription.

No ceilings or floor effects were found for questionnaires and domains.¹¹ This finding, together with the significant differences according to anaphylaxis, prescription of adrenaline and number of foods to avoid, support the discriminant ability of the Spanish version.

Some limitations of our study were common with validation in children aged 8-12 yeas.⁸ We did not perform a comparison of FAQLQ-TF with generic health-related QoL questionnaires. Specific questionnaires are more adequate to evaluate changes in QoL because of food allergy,^{17,18} and a low agreement has been described between specific and generic questionnaires,^{18,19} which are recommended, instead, to compare different disorders.^{17,18} The test-retest reliability was not evaluated either, as the food challenge performed on the day when questionnaires were completed could induce a relevant change in QoL. This potential change on the stability of patient's condition could invalidate results of the retest. However, excellent reliability has been established for the English version of FAQLQ-TF.^{6,20}

Conclusion

In summary, the Spanish version of both FAQLQ-TF and FAIM has shown good values regarding internal consistency, construct validity, and acceptable discriminant capacity for some clinical variables. Although it has some limitations and some questions require further evaluation, it can be used as a validated questionnaire for QoL in adolescents with food allergy similar to other language versions until better tools are designed.

References

 Lyons SA, Clausen M, Knulst AC, Ballmer-Weber BK, Fernandez-Rivas M, Barreales L, et al. Prevalence of food sensitization and food allergy in children across Europe. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020 Sep;8(8):2736-46.e9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaip.2020.04.020

- Kansen HM, Le TM, Meijer Y, Flokstra-de Blok BMJ, Welsing PMJ, van der Ent CK, et al. The impact of oral food challenges for food allergy on quality of life: A systematic review. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2018;29(5):527-37. https:// doi.org/10.1111/pai.12905
- Cao S, Borro M, Alonzi S, Sindher S, Nadeau K, Chinthrajah RS. Improvement in health-related quality of life in food-allergic patients: A meta-analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021 Oct;9(10):3705-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2021.05.020
- 4. De Blok BM, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Oude Elberink JN, Duiverman EJ, DunnGalvin A, Hourihane JO, et al. A framework for measuring the social impact of food allergy across Europe: A EuroPrevall state of the art paper. Allergy. 2007 Jul;62(7):733-7. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2006.01303.x
- Flokstra-de Blok BM, DunnGalvin A, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Oude Elberink JN, Duiverman EJ, Hourihane JO, et al. Development and validation of a self-administered Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire for children. Clin Exp Allergy. 2009 Jan;39(1): 127-37. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.03120.x
- Flokstra-de Blok BM, DunnGalvin A, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Oude Elberink JN, Duiverman EJ, Hourihane JO, et al. Development and validation of the self-administered Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire for adolescents. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008 Jul;122(1):139-44, 144.e1-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci. 2008.05.008
- DunnGalvin A, de BlokFlokstra BM, Burks AW, Dubois AE, Hourihane JO. Food allergy QoL questionnaire for children aged 0-12 years: Content, construct, and cross-cultural validity. Clin Exp Allergy. 2008 Jun;38(6):977-86. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.02978.x
- Jang DT, Sabido MP, Buendía E, Ibáñez L, Nieto M, Bartoll E, et al. Validation of the food allergy independent measure and the EuroPrevall food allergy quality of life questionnaire for children 8-12 years translated into Spanish. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2022 Jan 1;50(1):51-9. https://doi.org/ 10.15586/aei.v50i1.467
- van der Velde JL, Flokstra-de Blok BM, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Oude Elberink JN, DunnGalvin A, Hourihane JO, et al. Development, validity and reliability of the food allergy independent measure (FAIM). Allergy. 2010 May;65(5):630-5. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2009.02216.x
- Gliem JA., Gliem RR. Calculating, interpreting, and reporting Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for Likert-type scales. 2003 Midwest Research to Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, and Community Education, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH [Internet]. [cited 2003]. Available from: https://scholarworks.iupui.edu/bitstream/handle/1805/344/ Gliem+&+Gliem.pdf?sequence=1 Accessed March 10, 2022.
- Terwee CB, Bot SD, de Boer MR, van der Windt DA, Knol DL, Dekker J, et al. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. J Clin Epidemiol. 2007 Jan;60(1):34-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2006.03.012
- Bartoll E, Nieto M, Selva B, Badillo R, Pereira G, Uixera S, et al. Validation of a Spanish version of the EuroPrevall Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire--Parental Form. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2018 Jan-Feb;46(1):82-6. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aller.2017.06.004
- Manso L, Pineda R, Huertas B, Fernández-Rivas M, Diéguez MC, Cerecedo I, et al. Validation of the Spanish version of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Parent Form (S-FAQLQ-PF). J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2017;27(6):363-9. https://doi.org/10.18176/jiaci.0182
- Resnick ES, Pieretti MM, Maloney J, Noone S, Muñoz-Furlong A, Sicherer SH. Development of a questionnaire to measure quality of life in adolescents with food allergy: The FAQL-teen. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2010 Nov;105(5):364-8. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2010.09.006

- McWilliam VL, Koplin JJ, Field MJ, Sasaki M, Dharmage SC, Tang MLK, et al. SchoolNuts investigators. Self-reported adverse food reactions and anaphylaxis in the SchoolNuts study: A population-based study of adolescents. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018 Mar;141(3):982-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaci.2017.09.012
- Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. Int J Med Educ. 2011;2:53-5. https://doi.org/10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd
- Salvilla SA, Dubois AE, Flokstra-de Blok BM, Panesar SS, Worth A, Patel S, et al. Disease-specific health-related quality of life instruments for IgE-mediated food allergy. Allergy. 2014 Jul;69(7):834-44. 10.1111/all.12427.
- 18. Flokstra-de Blok BM, Dubois AE, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Oude Elberink JN, Raat H, DunnGalvin A, et al. Health-related

quality of life of food allergic patients: Comparison with the general population and other diseases. Allergy. 2010 Feb;65(2):238-44. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12427

- Mizuno Y, Ohya Y, Nagao M, DunnGalvin A, Fujisawa T. Validation and reliability of the Japanese version of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Parent Form. Allergol Int. 2017 Apr;66(2):290-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. alit.2016.06.013
- Van Der Velde JL, Flokstra-De Blok BMJ, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Oude Elberink JNG, Schouten JP, Dunngalvin A, et al. Test-retest reliability of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaires (FAQLQ) for children, adolescents and adults. Qual Life Res. 2009;18(2):245-51. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11136-008-9434-2

Supplementary

FAQoLQ-TF: Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire - Formulario para adolescentes (13-17 años)

	Las siguientes preguntas se refieren a cuánta importancia tiene tu alergia a alimentos sobre tu calidad de vida. Por favor, responde cada pregunta marcando la casilla adecuada con una "x". Las posibles respuestas se muestran en esta tabla → a la información proporcionada es totalmente confidencial.		0 = r $1 = c$ $2 = l$ $3 = r$ $4 = k$ $5 = r$	iones nada casi n igera regula pastai nuchi nuchi	ada mente ar nte D		<u>esta</u>	
A cau	usa de tu alergia a alimentos, ¿cuánto te molesta	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	tener que estar siempre vigilando lo que comes?							
2	poder comer menos cosas?							
3	estar limitado en las cosas que puedes comprar?							
4	tener que leer las etiquetas?							
5	sentir que tienes menos control de la comida cuando comes fuera?							
6	estar limitado para aceptar espontáneamente una invitación para comer fuera?							
7	estar limitado para probar o comer distintas cosas fuera de casa?							
8	tener que comprobar tú mismo si puedes comer algo cuando comes fuera de casa?							
9	dudar si comer alguna cosa cuando no estás seguro de ella?							
10	tener que rechazar chucherías en el colegio o el trabajo?							

12 tener que llevar adrenalina? (si no la llevas marca aquí \Box)

tener cuidado con tocar ciertos alimentos?

11

Figure S1 Cuestionario de Calidad de Vida en Alergia Alimentaria-Formulario para adolescentes (13-17 años).

			<u>Opc</u>	iones	de re	espue	esta	
			0 = r	nada				
			1 = 0	casi n	ada			
			2 = l	igera	ment	е		
			3 = r	egula	ar			
			4 = t	oastai	nte			
			5 = r	nuch	D			
			6 = r	nuchi	ísimo			
Ac	ausa de tu alergia a alimentos, ¿cuánto te molesta	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
13	que cambien los ingredientes de un producto?							
14	que la etiqueta diga: "Puede contener trazas de"?							
15	que la etiqueta del paquete grande (por ejemplo, una bolsa o caja) sea diferente de la del paquete individual?							
16	tener que explicar a la gente que tienes alergia a algún alimento?							
17	que en las actividades sociales otros puedan comer el alimento al que le tienes alergia?							
18	que en las actividades sociales no se tenga en cuenta lo suficiente tu alergia a alimentos?							
Ac	ausa de tu alergia a alimentos, ¿cuánto te asusta	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
19	tener una reacción alérgica?							
20	comer por error algo equivocado?							
21	comer algo que no has comido nunca antes?							
Por	favor, responde las siguientes preguntas	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
22	¿Cuánto te desanimas durante una reacción alérgica?							
23	¿Cuánto te decepcionas si la gente no tiene en cuenta tu alergia a alimentos?							

Figure S1 (Continued)

FAIM: Food Allergy Independent Measure- Teenager form (13- 17 años)

Medida independiente de la alergia a alimentos - Versión adolescentes

Las siguientes cuatro preguntas se refieren a lo que piensas de las probabilidades de que te pase algo a causa de tu alergia a alimentos. Escoge una de las respuestas. Luego hay dos preguntas más sobre tu alergia. Responde, por favor, cada pregunta marcando con una "x" en la respuesta adecuada

Niegune Hunness Dese Deskehilided Destants Hunles Tetel		6	5	4	3	2	1	0
5 51	oilidad)	Total (100% de probabilid	Mucha probabilidad	Bastante probabilidad	Probabilidad intermedia	Poca probabilidad	Muy poca probabilidad	Ninguna (0% de probabilidad)

¿En tu opinión, cu	ánta probabilidad ł	nay de que					0 1 2 3 4 5 6
a. por error comas							
b. tengas una reac							
c. puedas morir si							
d. <u>no</u> puedas trata							
e. ¿Cuántos produc	tos tienes que evita	r a causa de tu	alergia a alimen	tos?		I	
Casi ninguno	Muy pocos	Pocos	Algunos	Mi	ichos	Muchísimo	s Casi todos
f. ¿Qué impacto ti	ene tu alergia a alim	nentos sobre tu	vida social?				
Insignificante	Muy pequeño	Pequeño	Moderado	Grande	Muy grand	le Exti	remadamente grande

Figure S1 (Continued)

	Cronbach's alpha when an item was deleted	Corrected item/total correlation
4 Read labels	0.842	0.526
6 Stay for a meal	0.817	0.658
7 Try fewer	0.805	0.718
8 Check yourself	0.819	0.656
9 Hesitate eating	0.805	0.732
16 Explain people	0.845	0.527

 Table S1
 Modifications in Cronbach's alpha for each question in the domain of allergen avoidance (Cronbach's alpha = 0.847).

Table S2Modifications in Cronbach's alpha for each question in the domain of risk of accidental exposure (Cronbach's alpha= 0.802).

	Cronbach's alpha when an item was deleted	Corrected item/total correlation
11 Touching foods	0.779	0.521
13 Ingredients change	0.720	0.763
14 Label traces of	0.770	0.565
15 Label different	0.766	0.580
17 Others can eat	0.796	0.441
18 Not take account	0.787	0.483

Table S3 Modifications in Cronbach's alpha for each question in the domain of emotional impact (Cronbach's alpha = 0.804).

	Cronbach's alpha when an item was deleted	Corrected item/total correlation
5 Less control	0.776	0.550
12 Carry epipen	0.799	0.460
19 Allergic reaction	0.761	0.643
20 Eating wrong	0.756	0.690
21 Never before	0.756	0.666
22 Discouraged reaction	0.799	0.415
23 Not taking account	0.800	0.418

Table S4 Modifications in Cronbach's alpha for each question in the domain of dietary restriction (Cronbach's alpha = 0.797).

	Cronbach's alpha when an item was deleted	Corrected item/total correlation
1 Always watching	0.710	0.682
2 Eating fewer things	0.731	0.644
3 Limited in buying	0.702	0.706
10 Refuse treats	0.840	0.449

Table S5 Mean rank of values of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) score and domains, and Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) score and questions according to the diagnosis of anaphylaxis and the previous prescription of autoinjectable adrenaline.

		Anaphylaxis				njectable adre	enalin	e
	No (n = 33)	Yes (n = 27)	U	Р	No (n = 34)	Yes (n = 26)	U	Р
Whole FAQLQ-TF	21.62	41.35	152	<0.001	22.50	40.96	170	<0.001
Allergen avoidance	23.58	38.96	217	0.001	23.94	39.08	219	0.001
Risk of accidents	22.26	40.57	173	<0.001	23.24	40.00	195	<0.001
Emotional impact	21.48	41.52	148	<0.001	22.10	41.48	156	<0.001
Dietary restrictions	22.86	39.83	193	<0.001	23.91	39.12	218	0.001
FAIM	21.55	41.44	150	<0.001	22.21	41.35	160	<0.001

(Continues)

Table S5 (Continued)

	Anaphylaxis			Autoinjectable adrenaline				
	No (n = 33)	Yes (n = 27)	U	Р	No (n = 34)	Yes (n = 26)	U	Р
EO1: Chance of accidental exposure	26.17	35.80	302	0.029	25.79	36.65	282	0.014
EO2: Chance of severe reaction	23.18	39.44	204	<0.001	24.00	39.00	221	0.001
EO3: Chance of dying	22.12	40.74	169	<0.001	22.96	40.37	185	<0.001
EO4: Chance of not acting effectively	26.11	35.87	300	0.028	26.87	35.25	318	0.060
IM1: Number of foods to avoid	23.91	38.56	228	0.001	23.69	39.40	210	<0.001
IM2: Effect on social life	24.29	38.09	240	0.002	24.71	38.08	245	0.002

Table S6 Mean rank of values of the Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire-Teenage Form (FAQLQ-TF) score and its domains, and Food Allergy Independent Measure (FAIM) score and its questions according to polyallergy and allergy to milk and eggs.

	Allergy to milk and/or eggs			Polyallergic				
	No (n = 48)	Yes (n = 12)	U	Р	No (n = 34)	Yes (n = 26)	U	Р
Whole FAQLQ-TF	28.28	39.38	182	0.049	26.48	32.80	267	0.104
Allergen avoidance	27.92	40.83	164	0.022	26.03	32.27	290	0.212
Risk of accidents	29.04	36.33	218	0.195	26.53	32.07	293	0.268
Emotional impact	28.74	37.54	203	0.118	25.79	32.36	286	0.189
Dietary restrictions	27.43	42.79	140	0.006	23.82	33.14	252	0.062
FAIM	28.44	38.75	189	0.067	22.50	33.66	230	0.025
EO1: Chance of accidental exposure	28.26	39.46	181	0.041	32.26	29.80	336	0.612
EO2: Chance of severe reaction	29.45	34.71	238	0.343	26.53	32.07	298	0.261
EO3: Chance of dying	29.04	36.33	218	0.186	24.85	32.73	270	0.108
EO4: Chance of not acting effectively	30.24	31.54	275	0.814	27.32	31.76	312	0.366
IM1: Number of foods to avoid	27.94	40.75	165	0.019	20.41	34.49	194	0.004
IM2: Effect on social life	27.38	43.00	138	0.004	18.71	35.16	165	0.001

Table S7 Spearman's correlation of the values of FAQLQ-TF and its domains with the number of foods to avoid (P < 0.001 in all cases).

	Whole FAQLQ-TF	Allergen avoidance	Risk of accidental exposure	Emotional impact	Dietary restriction
Number of foods to avoid	0.674	0.647	0.607	0.555	0.614